

MAF: A General Matching and Alignment Framework for Multimodal Named Entity Recognition

Bo Xu xubo@dhu.edu.cn School of Computer Science and Technology, Donghua University Shanghai, China

Chaofeng Sha* cfsha@fudan.edu.cn School of Computer Science, Fudan University Shanghai Key Laboratory of Intelligence Processing Shanghai, China Shizhou Huang 2202408@mail.dhu.edu.cn School of Computer Science and Technology, Donghua University Shanghai, China

Hongya Wang hywang@dhu.edu.cn School of Computer Science and Technology, Donghua University Shanghai, China

The source code of this paper can be found in https://github.com/xubodhu/MAF







Multimodal Named Entity Recognition

It can improve text-based named entity recognition (NER) by using images as additional input. When text information is insufficient, image information can help identify ambiguous named entities.



image



text Handsome Rob after a fish dinner



it is difficult for us to infer the type of
named entity Rob. It may describe a
person or an animal. With the help of its
accompanying image , we can easily
determine that its type is MISC
 (other) .

There are four types of entities: Person (PER),Organization (ORG), Location (LOC) and others (MISC).



Current research and existing problems:

They mainly focus on using a cross-modal attention mechanism to combine text representation with image representation.

- the current methods are based on a strong assumption that each text and its accompanying image are matched, and the image can be used to help identify named entities in the text.
- the current methods fail to construct a consistent representation to bridge the semantic gap between two modalities, which prevents the model from establishing a good connection between the text and image.



To address these issues:

propose a general matching and alignment framework (MAF)

a cross-modal matching (CM) module:

—— reduce the impact of mismatched text-image pairs.

a cross-modal alignment (CA) module:

—— help the model to align the text and image representations.

概观 OVERVIEW





方法 METHOD





Input Representations

• Text Encoder

$$S' = (s_0, s_1, ..., s_n, s_{n+1})$$

$$T = (t_0, t_1, ..., t_n, t_{n+1})$$
$$T_s = Tanh(t_0) = \frac{e^{2t_0} - 1}{e^{2t_0} + 1}$$

• Image Encoder

$$V = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{48}, v_{49})$$







Cross-Modal Alignment Module

- the effect of contrastive learning is mainly affected by the number of negative examples.
- this MLP projection can help the encoders (BERT and ResNet) to learn a better representation.
- By minimizing two contrast loss functions, we can maximize the similarity of positive cases and minimize the similarity of negative cases.

$$\mathcal{L}_{i}^{(Vc \to Tc)} = -\log \frac{e^{(sim(V_{c}^{i}, T_{c}^{i})/\tau)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{(sim(V_{c}^{i}, T_{c}^{j})/\tau)}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{i}^{(Tc \to Vc)} = -\log \frac{e^{(stm(T_{c}, V_{c})/\tau)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{(stm(T_{c}^{i}, V_{c}^{j})/\tau)}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{ca} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\lambda_c \mathcal{L}_i^{(Vc \to Tc)} + (1 - \lambda_c) \mathcal{L}_i^{(Tc \to Vc)} \right)$$

V49



Cross-Modal Interaction Module

• Queries

 $T = (t_0, t_1, ..., t_n, t_{n+1})$

• Key-value pairs

$$V = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{48}, v_{49})$$

 $a_{i} = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{[W_{qi}T]^{T}[W_{ki}V]}{\sqrt{d/m}} \right)$ $CV_{i} = a_{i} [W_{vi}T]^{T}$ $CV = W' [CV_{1}; CV_{2}; ...; CV_{m}]^{T}$ V' = LN(T + CV)C = LN(V' + FFN(V'))



Cross-Modal Matching Module

- Randomly select 2k (0 < k < N/2) input pairs from the batch and swap the image representations of the first half in the input pairs with the second half as the negative examples. Moreover, the remaining N -2k input pairs in the batch are positive examples.
- Use the generated training example to train the CM module.

$$D_{m} = (D_{m1}, D_{m2}, ..., D_{mN})$$

$$F_{i} = Flatten([T(D_{mi}); C(D_{mi})])$$

$$y_{mi}^{\wedge} = \sigma(W_f^T F_i)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{cm} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (y_{mj} \cdot \log(\hat{y_{mj}}) + (1 - y_{mj}) \cdot \log(1 - \hat{y_{mj}}))$$

$$M = y_m^{\hat{}} \odot C$$





Cross-Modal Fusion Module

- use a gate mechanism to dynamically control the combination of text and image representations at the token level.
- $g = \sigma(W_{gt}^T T + W_{gm}^T M)$ $R = g \odot M$ H = [T; R]

方法 METHOD





CRF Decoder

$$P(y|S,I) = \frac{e^{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{h_i,y_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} T_{y_i,y_{i+1}})}}{Z(H)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{mner} = -\frac{1}{|D_{mner}|} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\log P(y^j | S^j, I^j) \right)$$

$$Z(H) = \sum_{y} e^{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{h_i, y_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} T_{y_i, y_{i+1}})}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \alpha \mathcal{L}_{ca} + \beta \mathcal{L}_{cm} + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \mathcal{L}_{mner}$$





	TWI	TTER-20	15	TWITTER-2017			
Туре	Train	Dev	Test	Train	Dev	Test	
PER	2,217	552	1,816	2,943	626	621	
LOC	2,091	522	1,697	731	173	178	
ORG	928	247	839	1,674	375	395	
MISC	940	225	726	701	150	157	
Total	6,176	1,546	5,078	6,049	1,324	1,351	
# Tweets	4,000	1,000	3,257	3,373	723	723	





	TWITTER-2015				TWITTER-2017									
	Single Type (F1)			Overall		Single Type (F1)			Overall					
Methods	PER.	LOC.	ORG.	MISC.	Р	R	F1	PER.	LOC.	ORG.	MISC.	Р	R	F1
BiLSTM-CRF	76.77	72.56	41.33	26.80	68.14	61.09	64.42	85.12	72.68	72.50	52.56	79.42	73.43	76.31
CNN-BiLSTM-CRF	80.86	75.39	47.77	32.61	66.24	68.09	67.15	87.99	77.44	74.02	60.82	80.00	78.76	79.37
HBiLSTM-CRF	82.34	76.83	51.59	32.52	70.32	68.05	69.17	87.91	78.57	76.67	59.32	82.69	78.16	80.37
BERT	84.72	79.91	58.26	38.81	68.30	74.61	71.32	90.88	84.00	79.25	61.63	82.19	83.72	82.95
BERT-CRF*	84.74	80.51	60.27	37.29	69.22	74.59	71.81	90.25	83.05	81.13	62.21	83.32	83.57	83.44
T-NER ⁴	83.64	76.18	50.26	34.56	69.54	68.65	69.09	-	8 -	-	-	-	-	-
GVATT-HBiLSTM-CRF	82.66	77.21	55.06	35.25	73.96	67.90	70.80	89.34	78.53	79.12	62.21	<mark>83.41</mark>	80.38	81.87
AdaCAN-CNN-BiLSTM-CRF	81.98	78.95	53.07	34.02	72.75	68.74	70.69	89.63	77.46	79.24	62.77	84.16	80.24	82.15
GVATT-BERT-CRF	84.43	80.87	59.02	38.14	69.15	74.46	71.70	90.94	83.52	81.91	62.75	83.64	84.38	84.01
AdaCAN-BERT-CRF	85.28	80.64	59.39	38.88	69.87	74.59	72.15	90.20	82.97	82.67	64.83	85.13	83.20	84.10
MT-BERT-CRF	85.30	81.21	61.10	37.97	70.48	74.80	72.58	91.47	82.05	81.84	65.80	84.60	84.16	84.42
UMT-BERT-CRF*	85.24	81.58	63.03	39.45	71.67	75.23	73.41	91.56	84.73	82.24	70.10	85.28	85.34	85.31
ATTR-MMKG-MNER [*]	84.28	79.43	58.97	41.47	74.78	71.82	73.27	-	-	-		-	-	-
MAF (Ours)	84.67	81.18	63.35	41.82	71.86	75.10	73.42	91.51	85.80	85.10	68.79	86.13	86.38	86.25







	TWITTER	R-2015	TWITTER	Size (M)	
Methods	Training	Testing	Training	Testing	Size (M)
UMT-BERT-CRF	102.035	30.002	85.971	6.281	208.29
MAF	86.822	25.619	73.754	5.450	196.28







	TWI	TTER-20	015	TWITTER-2017			
Methods	Р	R	F1	Р	R	F1	
MAF	71.41	75.32	73.32	86.13	86.38	86.25	
w/o CA	70.89	75.44	73.09	83.75	84.68	84.21	
w/o CM	70.96	74.73	72.80	85.40	84.46	84.93	
w/o CA + CM	70.32	74.71	72.45	82.90	84.30	83.60	

Experiments

实验



Methods	Importance of the C	CA Module	Importance of the CM Module				
	B DRLLRS G DRL M G DRLLRS G DRL M G D						
	[HURRY O] GET ONE BEFORE	The beautiful camel is		Malevich PER] opens at Tate			
	THEYRE SENT TO AFRICA	called [Camille MISC]	(2006)	Modern on 16 July			
UMT-BERT-CRF	[HURRY PER] ×	[Camille MISC] √	[Aquamarine ORG] ×	[Malevich PER] 🗸			
MAF	[HURRY O] ✓	[Camille MISC] √	[Aquamarine MISC] \checkmark	[Malevich PER] √			
MAF w/o CA	$[HURRY PER] \times$	[Camille PER] ×	[Aquamarine MISC] √	[Malevich PER] 🗸			
MAF w/o CM	$[HURRY O] \checkmark$	[Camille MISC] √	[Aquamarine ORG] \times	[Malevich LOC] ×			



致谢感恩

孙凯文 导师:杨武 黄丹

THANKS TO